Case3:12-cv-06003-CRB Document86 Filed05/23/13 Page1 of 8

1 2 3 4	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP JOSEPH E. FLOREN, State Bar No. 168292 KIMBERLY A. KANE, State Bar No. 226896 One Market Street, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105-1126 Tel: 415.442.1000				
5	Fax: 415.442.1001 jfloren@moganlewis.com kkane@morganlewis.com				
6	ROBERT E. GOODING, JR., State Bar No. 50617 JENNIFER R. BAGOSY, State Bar No. 223145				
7 8	5 Park Plaza, Suite 1750 Irvine, CA 92614 Tel: 949.399.7000				
9	Fax: 949.399.7001 rgooding@morganlewis.com jbagosy@morganlewis.com				
10	MARC J. SONNENFELD (pro hac vice) KAREN PIESLAK POHLMANN (pro hac vice)				
12	1701 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2921 Tel: 215.963.5740				
13 14	Fax: 215.963.5001 msonnenfeld@morganlewis.com kpohlmann@morganlewis.com				
15	Attorneys for Nominal Defendant				
16	HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY				
17	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
18	FOR THE NORTHERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA			
19 20	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
21	IN RE HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY	Master File No. 12-cv-6003 CRB			
22	SHAREHOLDER DERIVATIVE LITIGATION,	STIPULATION OF ALL PARTIES TO			
23		STAY CASE THROUGH JULY 31, 2013; [PROPOSED] ORDER			
24		Dept.: Courtroom 6, 17th Floor			
25	This Document Relates to: All Actions	Judge: Honorable Charles R. Breyer			
26					
27					
28					
8					

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

WHEREAS, on May 3, 2013, Plaintiff Stanley Morrical ("Plaintiff") filed his Consolidated Shareholder Derivative Complaint ("Complaint") asserting claims on behalf of Nominal Defendant Hewlett-Packard Company ("HP"), arising from or relating to HP's acquisition in 2011 of Autonomy Corporation plc ("Autonomy") and surrounding circumstances (the "Derivative Complaint");

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Court's Order entered February 21, 2013 (Dkt. No. 61), responses to the Complaint are currently due no earlier than July 2, 2013; briefs in opposition to motions in response to the Complaint are due September 3, 2013; and reply briefs on such motions are due October 3, 2013;

WHEREAS, on May 10, 2013, HP filed a Motion to Stay this action until the earlier of (a) when the committee, formed by HP's Board of Directors to investigate potential claims by the Company, completes its investigation and makes a recommendation to the Board, and the Board makes its decision whether to pursue this litigation; or (b) when the Court decides a motion to dismiss in the related securities class action arising from the Autonomy acquisition entitled *In re HP Securities Litigation*, No. 12-cv-5980 CRB; and in any event not later than (c) January 17, 2014 (the "Motion to Stay") (Dkt. No. 76);

WHEREAS, Plaintiff's opposition to HP's Motion to Stay is due on May 24, 2013, and the Motion is set for hearing on June 21, 2013; and

WHEREAS, counsel for HP and Plaintiff have met and conferred regarding the issues presented by HP's Motion to Stay, and have reached agreement that a temporary stay of this action as provided herein is warranted based on the current facts and circumstances and considerations of judicial economy and efficiency, with each party reserving all rights and arguments, and without prejudice to any party seeking or opposing a further continuation of or termination of the stay; and,

WHEREAS, the parties agree that nothing herein shall be deemed an acknowledgement or concession by Plaintiff with respect to the arguments in HP's Motion to Stay, or an acknowledgement or concession by HP that the duration of the stay provided herein is or will be sufficient,

27

28

1	NOW, THEREFORE, subject to the Court's approval, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED				
2	AND AGREED by and among all parties who have appeared in this action, through their				
3	undersigned counsel of record, as follows:				
4	1. This action is stayed in its entirety. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, this				
5	action shall remain stayed until July 31, 2013.				
6	2. During the time when this action is stayed, no Defendant shall be required to file				
7	any answer, motion, or other response to the Complaint. The schedule for responsive pleadings				
8	and motions, as established in the Court's February 21, 2013 Order, shall be and is hereby				
9	continued for the duration of the stay, such that (a) Defendants' answers, motions, or other papers				
10	in response to the Complaint are due on or before September 10, 2013; (b) in the event				
11	Defendants file any motions directed at the Complaint, Plaintiff's opposition briefs shall be filed				
12	on or before November 12, 2013; and (c) reply briefs on such motions shall be on or before				
13	December 12, 2013.				
14	3. HP's Motion to Stay (Dkt. No. 76) is off calendar, without prejudice to HP				
15	renewing that motion or otherwise seeking a further stay of the action.				
16	4. The stay shall not prevent any party from filing or opposing a motion or stipulation				
17	seeking to terminate, continue, or extend the stay of the action. This stipulated stay shall not				
18	prejudice any rights or future arguments of any party with respect to the need for or appropriate				
19	duration of the stay of the action, all of which rights and arguments are expressly reserved.				
20	IT IS SO STIPULATED.				
21	Dated: May 23, 2013 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP				
22					
23	By /s/ Joseph E. Floren Joseph E. Floren				
24	Attorneys for Nominal Defendant HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY				
25					
26	I, Joseph E. Floren, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this STIPULATION OF ALL PARTIES TO STAY CASE THROUGH JULY 31, 2013;				
27	[PROPOSED] ORDER. In compliance with Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that each of the signatories below has concurred in this filing.				
28					

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

Case3:12-cv-06003-CRB Document86 Filed05/23/13 Page4 of 8 1 COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY, LLP Dated: May 23, 2013 2 3 By /s/ Mark C. Molumphy Mark C. Molumphy 4 Attorneys for Plaintiff STANLEY MORRICAL 5 **COOLEY LLP** Dated: May 23, 2013 6 7 By /s/ John C. Dwyer John C. Dwyer 8 Attorneys for Defendant MARGARET C. WHITMAN 9 Dated: May 23, 2013 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW 10 PITTMAN LLP / DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP 11 12 By /s/ Bruce A. Ericson Bruce A. Ericson 13 Colby A. Smith Bruce E. Yannett 14 Attorneys for Defendant LEO APOTHEKER 15 May 23, 2013 SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & 16 Dated: FLOM LLP 17 18 By /s/ Timothy A. Miller Timothy A. Miller 19 Attorneys for Defendants RAYMOND J. LANE, SHUMEET 20 BANERJI, RAJIV L. GUPTA, JOHN H. HAMMERGREN, MARC L. 21 ANDREESSEN, PATRICIA F. RUSSO, ANN M. LIVERMORE, GARY M. 22 REINER, G. KENNEDY THOMPSON, RALPH V. WHITWORTH, LAWRENCE 23 T. BABBIO, JR., SARI M. BALDAUF, and DOMINIQUE SENEQUIER 24 Dated: May 23, 2013 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 25

28
MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

26

27

STIP. AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE STAY

CASE NO. 12-CV-6003 CRB

By /s/ Daniel Bookin
Daniel Bookin

Attorneys for Defendant SHANE ROBISON

	Cas	e3:12-cv-06003-CRB	Document86	Filed05/23/13 Page5 of 8
1	Datada	M 22, 2012		CHEDMANI O CTEDI INCLI ID
2	Dated:	May 23, 2013		SHERMAN & STERLING LLP
3				By /s/ Patrick D. Robbins
4				Patrick D. Robbins Attorneys for Defendant PERELLA WEINBERG PARTNERS LP
5		15 00 0010		
6	Dated:	May 23, 2013		SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP
7				By /s/ Brendan P. Cullen
8				Brendan P. Cullen Attorneys for Defendant BARCLAYS CAPITAL
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28 //is &				
AW				STIP. AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE STAY

MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER
2	
3	Pursuant to the foregoing stipulation, and good cause appearing,
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.
5	
6	Date:2013Honorable Charles R_ Brever
7	Honorable Charles R. Breyer UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
&	

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

DECLARATION OF JOSEPH E. FLOREN (L.R. 6-2)

I, Joseph E. Floren, state as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney admitted to practice before this Court and am a partner of Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP, counsel of record for Nominal Defendant Hewlett-Packard Company ("HP") in this action. I submit this declaration pursuant to Local Rule 6-2 in support of the parties' foregoing Stipulation to a stay of this case through July 31, 2013. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated below and if called upon to testify to these facts, I could and would competently do so.
- 2. On February 21, 2013, the Court entered an order granting the Parties' Stipulation Consolidating Shareholder Derivative Actions, which also set deadlines by which a consolidated shareholder derivative complaint was due, the date by which HP must file a Motion to Stay, and a briefing schedule for motions to dismiss in the Derivative Action (the "Consolidation Order"). (Dkt. No. 61)
- 3. Pursuant to the Consolidation Order and the Court's March 4, 2013 Order appointing Stanley Morrical ("Plaintiff") as lead plaintiff in this consolidated action (Dkt. No. 65), Plaintiff filed his Consolidated Shareholder Derivative Complaint (the "Complaint") on May 3, 2013, and the currently effective schedule for responses to the Complaint is as follows: responses and motions due no earlier than July 2, 2013; opposition briefs due September 3, 2013; and reply briefs due October 3, 2013. The Consolidation Order is the first and only stipulation of the parties and order of the Court setting due dates for this action.
- 4. On May 10, 2013, HP filed a Motion to Stay seeking to stay this action until the earlier of (a) when the independent committee, formed by HP's Board of Directors to investigate potential claims by the Company, completes its investigation and makes a recommendation to the Board, and the Board makes its decision whether to pursue this litigation; or (b) when the Court decides a motion to dismiss in the related securities class action arising from the Autonomy acquisition entitled *In re HP Securities Litigation*, No. 12-cv-5980 CRB; and in any event not later than (c) January 17, 2014. (Dkt. No. 76)
 - 5. I have met and conferred with Plaintiff's counsel, and the parties have agreed to

1	stay the action temporarily on the terms provided in the foregoing stipulation, without prejudice			
2	to any party seeking to continue, extend, or terminate that stay. The requested stay and attendant			
3	extension of pending pleading deadlines promotes judicial economy and efficiency because,			
4	among other reasons, it avoids the necessity for litigation of HP's Motion to Stay at this time and			
5	will narrow the scope of potential future disagreement between the parties regarding whether the			
6	case should continue to be stayed.			
7	6. Entering an Order approving this Stipulation will vary the current schedule for the			
8	action as follows:			
9	• the action is stayed from this date until July 31, 2013, subject to any further			
10	motion, stipulation or order of the Court to extend, continue, or terminate the stay			
11	at any time;			
12	• Responses, including motions to dismiss, the Complaint, currently due July 2,			
13	2013, shall now be due on September 10, 2013;			
14	 Opposition briefs, currently due September 3, 2013, shall now be due on 			
15	November 12, 2013; and			
16	• Reply briefs, currently due October 3, 2013, shall now be due December 12, 2013.			
17	7. All parties who have appeared in the action have entered into the Stipulation and			
18	agreed to the stay and foregoing briefing schedule.			
19	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is			
20	true and correct, and that this declaration was executed in San Francisco, California on May 23,			
21	2013.			
22	/ / I			
23	/s/ Joseph E. Floren			
24	Joseph E. Floren			
25				
26				
27				
28				